

- Danish seine - An Environmental Friendly Fishing Method?

Thomas Noack¹, Florian Eggers², Rikke P. Frandsen¹, Ludvig Ahm Krag¹, Niels Madsen¹



¹ DTU Aqua, Technical University of Denmark, North Sea Science Park, 9850 Hirtshals, Denmark
² Bramscher Str. 103, 49088 Osnabrück, Germany

DTU Aqua
National Institute of Aquatic Resources

? Background

Although extensive research is devoted to assess the effects of demersal trawling on the marine ecosystem, only a handful of studies considered the Danish seine (ICES, 2010) – an encircling net to catch demersal fish (Sainsbury, 1996). By EU legislation, trawls and seines belong to one category and thus follow the same regulations, although there are differences between both gears, such as the lighter construction of seines and no use of heavy weights or doors. This results in a moderate fuel consumption of seines (Suuronen et al., 2012) and probably in relatively low interactions with the seabed. Furthermore, the fishing process is considered to be more gently which may increase the chance of discarded fish to survive (Revill, 2012). In total, the seine is regarded as a relatively ecofriendly fishing gear.

Since these statements are only based on a few scientific investigations and many assumptions, this study will test the environmental friendliness of Danish seines compared to trawls and – if necessary – try to improve its properties, e.g. selectivity and bottom impact. This will be done by an analysis of existing catch data (Danish observer program from 1997 to 2012; DOP) and following field trials.




Figure 1: Valid hauls of DOP (n_{seine}=285; n_{trawl}=466). — Danish seine stations. — Trawl stations.

|| First results




Figure 2: Catch comparison for Danish seine (N = 285) and trawls (N = 466). Data from DOP. Main targets of Danish seines highlighted in bold. Blacked out species mean catch per hour, red discard and number on top shows conservation of hauls where IUCN (NA = not assessed, LC = least concern, NT = near threatened, VU = vulnerable, EN = endangered, CR = critically endangered). ¹ and ² indicate reduction of catches by the factor of 10 or 20 due to very high catch rates.

A first analysis of the DOP data (Fig. 2) shows that the diversity of catches in Danish seines (46 species) is lower than in trawls (78 species). The mean number of individuals per hour of species, targeted by Danish seiners, is slightly higher for Danish seines in most cases. However, the high standard deviations cause a high degree of uncertainty for most species. The high difference between the gears for Norway lobster is caused by the fact that it does not belong to the targets of Danish seiners, but it is one of the main targets of trawlers.

Seven species, caught by Danish seines are classified at least as “near threatened” by IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature). This list is extended by two species for trawl catches.

⚙ Future tasks

1. Process description (Start:2014)

Detailed description of all stages of the seining process concerning geometry and forces by Dataloggers for depth, relative distance, tilt, speed, ...

3. Benthic impacts (Start:2015)

Estimation of potential interactions of the gear with the sea bottom by using physical (e.g. Sidescan sonar) and biological methods (e.g. grab samples).

5. Fish behavior (Start: ~2015)

Observing behavior of fish during stages of the capture process with the aim to improve catch efficiency and selectivity of the gear.

2. Selectivity (Start:2014)

Experiments to support existing data by usage of codend cover and special collecting bags to estimate vertebrate species as well as invertebrate species.

4. Discard Survival (Start:~2015)

Assessment of discarded fish's chance to survive, which is important in terms of the future discard ban in European fisheries.

Combination of all tasks to provide an overall picture of ecosystem effects of Danish seine fishing

📖 References

EEC, 2012: Proposal for a Regulation of the European parliament and of the council on certain technical and control measures in the Skagerrak and amending Regulation (EC) No 850/98 and Regulation (EC) No 1342/2008.
ICES, 2010: Report of the ICES - FAO Working Group on Fishing Technology & Fish Behaviour (WGFTFB). ICES CM 2010/SSGESST:14: 51-82.
Revill, A. S. 2012: Survival of discarded fish, a rapid review of studies on discard survival rates.
Sainsbury, J. C. 1996: Commercial fishing methods: an introduction to vessels and gears. Third edition. Commercial Fishing Methods: an Introduction To Vessels and Gears.
Suuronen, P., Chopin, F., Glass, C., Løkkeborg, S., Matsushita, Y., Queirolo, D., Rihan, D. 2012: Low impact and fuel efficient fishing—Looking beyond the horizon. Fisheries Research, 119–120: 135-146.

✉ Contact

Thomas Noack
thno@aqua.dtu.dk
+45 35 88 32 51

This project is
financed by:

Ministeriet for Fødevarer,
Landbrug og Fiskeri

